

MINISTERIO DE CIENCIA, INNOVACIÓN Y UNIVERSIDADES



Cofinanciado por la Unión Europea



Towards a better understanding and simulation of heavy precipitation events

The catastrophic flash flood of the Francolí River basin in Catalonia, north-eastern Spain

David Ramonell, Arnau Amengual, Diego Saúl Carrio, and Romualdo Romero



Universitat de les Illes Balears

Grup de Meteorologia

Contents

- Hydrometeorological data
- Atmospheric modelling
- Hydrological modelling
- Statistic analyses
- Hydrological simulations
- Sensitivity analyses

1. Motivation



We face significant exposure to flash-flood producing heavy precipitation events (HPEs)



Global warming is intensifying the hydrologic cycle \rightarrow HPEs are expected to increase



Mediterranean region has been identified as a hotspot for climate change effects



We aim to achieve new insights in the onset and development of HPEs in Mediterranean Spain



Testing the use of hydrological simulation as advanced evaluation tool of HPE simulations

2. Case study

HPE and flash flooding of the Francolí River basin (Catalonia)

22 and 23 October 2019



low

2. Case study

HPE and flash flooding of the Francolí River basin (Catalonia)

22 and 23 October 2019



HPE in Catalonia especially in Tarragona Catastrophic flash flooding of Francolí River basin

More than EUR 44 million in damages and six fatalities



Observational data

Radar-derived QPEs

QPEs from Barcelona's weather radar

- 10-minute data from 22 to 24 October 2019 at 00:00 UTC
- Data processed to remove attenuation and orographic effects
- Catalonia QPEs → 1-hour amounts across Catalonia
- Francolí QPEs → 10-minute amounts in Francolí River basin
- High spatial correlation and average underestimation of 2.8%



Observational data

Streamflow measurements

Hydrograph from Tarragona's stream-gauge

- Montblanc and Tarragona stream-gauge stations
- Montblanc station destroyed by floodwaters
- Only Tarragona's stream-gauge to measure run-off
- Raw streamflow data every 5 minutes



- Mesoscale NWP Models → WRF and TRAM
- High-grid-resolution precipitation fields simulated 22 to 24 October 2019 at 00:00 UTC
- Seven-member ensemble strategy for each model

- **Both** \rightarrow ERA5 and IFS data as IC and BC every 6 hours
- WRF ensemble → Microphysics and PBL schemes
- **TRAM ensemble** → HRES and cumulus parametrisation

3000 2000 42°N Catalonia - 1500 - 1000 Francolí d02: 1 km 🤝 basin - 700 40°N - 500 - 300 38°N - 200 - 100 2°W 2°E 6°E 8°E 4°W 4°E Numerical domain for WRF

TRAM used one similar (unique HRES though)



metres (asl)



WRF ensemble members

1-hour outputs from:

22-24 October 2019 at 00:00 UTC

Member	Microphysics	Cumulus	PBL ⁽²⁾	Data
W1	WSM6	Х	YSU	IFS
W2	WSM6	Х	YSU	ERA5
W3	WSM6	Kain-Fritsch ⁽¹⁾	YSU	IFS
W4	Thomson	Х	YSU	IFS
W5	WSM6	Х	MYJ	IFS
W6	Thomson	Х	MYNN	IFS
W7	Thomson	Х	MYJ	ERA5

(1) Only implemented at parent domain (3 km still corresponds to the cumulus grey-zone)

(2) Surface layer physics used the scheme matching PBL schemes' code numbers.

TRAM ensemble members

10-minute outputs from:

22-24 October 2019 at 00:00 UTC

Member	HRES (km)	Cumulus ⁽¹⁾	Data	
T1	1.50	Kain-Fritsch 2(2)	IFS	
T2	1.50	Х	IFS	
Т3	0.75	Х	IFS	
Τ4	1.50	Kain-Fritsch 2	ERA5	
Τ5	1.50	Х	ERA5	
T6 ⁽³⁾	1.50	Kain-Fritsch 2	ERA5	
Τ7	0.75	Х	ERA5	
Aimed to evaluate TRAM's capabilities for explicit cumulus resolution				
Same cumulus convection parametrisation scheme used by MM5 model				
Assessed the effect of stochastic perturbations in short-range HPE simulation				

(1) (2)

(3)

Hydrological modelling

- KLEM model to simulate run-off at Tarragona's station
- Calibrated with Tarragona's steam-gauge data
- Francolí's QPEs used for control run-off simulation

- KLEM forced with high-grid-precipitation ensembles
- Hydrological indices ($Q \equiv Discharge, V \equiv volume$)



Relative error of total volume and peak discharge

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs} - Q_{sim})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs} - \bar{Q}_{obs})^{2}}; \qquad \% EV = \left(\frac{V_{sim} - V_{obs}}{V_{obs}}\right) \cdot 100; \qquad \% EQ_{max} = \left(\frac{Q_{max, sim} - Q_{max, obs}}{Q_{max, obs}}\right) \cdot 100$$

Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient

Statistical analyses

Spatial

Spatial Taylor Diagram of the 48-hour accumulated precipitation



W1 and T5 performed the best in terms of RMSD, sp. Correlation and sp. standard deviation.

Statistical analyses

Spatial



48-hour accumulated precipitation fields

W1

- Consistent precipitation across Catalonia
- Large overestimation over Lleida
- Underestimated at eastern Girona

T5

- Better representation of the main HPE strip
- Large underestimation at north-eastern regions

Distribution **within basin** is well-represented However, heavily underestimated at westernmost side

Statistical analyses

Temporal



48-hour temporal RMSE and correlation fields

- Overall low values of RMSE across Catalonia
- High RMSE → Barcelona's centre and eastern Girona
- Highest RMSE \rightarrow Westernmost part of the basin
- W1 achieves very high correlations

Correlation (adim.)

- T5 large area with negative correlation
- High positive correlation within basin, especially W1

Run-off simulations



Flash flood-producing HPE simulations were achieved \rightarrow Though not all performed as well

Run-off simulations

WRF ensemble as inputs

EV

(%)

14.29

62.0

-39.79

40.97

-75.68

40.76

-11.00

EQ_{max}

(%)

-2.14

18.35

-50.09

18.05

-81.89

28.21

-35.59



W1 and W7 achieve high goodness-of-fit \rightarrow

W1 is the best hydrological simulation

RMSE

 (m^3/s)

68.01

109.94

80.4

106.75

141.47

98.14

58.07

NSE

(**n**.u)

0.81

0.51

0.74

0.54

0.19

0.61

0.86

Input

W1

W2

W3

W4

W5

W6

W7

Run-off simulations



Input	NSE (n.u)	RMSE (m³/s)	EV (%)	EQ _{max} (%)
T1	0.20	140.35	-68.71	-76.43
T2	0.62	96.75	27.63	-30.00
Т3	0.41	120.96	-59.14	-67.96
T4	0.69	86.64	-59.14	-38.08
T5	0.56	105.37	15.29	-15.98
T6	0.48	112.78	-24.24	-44.39
Τ7	-0.96	219.78	133.14	75.79



T4 and T5 achieve high goodness-of-fit \rightarrow

T5 is the best hydrological simulation

Run-off simulations



Sensitivity analyses

Simulation	SST anomaly	Topography
CNTRL (W1)	-	-
SST-M3	-3 °C	-
SST-M2	-2 °C	-
SST-M1	-1 °C	-
SST-P1	+1 °C	-
SST-P2	+2 °C	-
SST-P3	+3 °C	-
No-TOPO	-	Removed
SST-M2 + No-TOPO	-2 °C	Removed
Local-TOPO	-	Limited to 500 m on a 60 km radius

9 simulations were carried out to analyse the effects of **SST** and **topography**



Sensitivity analyses

Spatial averages of the 48-hour accumulated precipitation for each experiment



48-hour accumulated precipitation



4. Results

Sensitivity analyses

- SST-M2 → Lesser rain overall
- No-TOPO → Much less rainfall, no HPE over the Francolí River basin.
- No-TOPO + SST-M2 → Very little rain over Francolí River basin, and lesser across Catalonia.

If topography removed, convective system for Catalonia travels northwards

48-hour accumulated precipitation for SST anomalies



5. Future work

Larger ensembles to try to find better configurations for HPE modelling



Additional studies for similar HPE events (e.g. Valencia October 2024)



More complex hydrological models (e.g. FEST-WB) for enhanced validations



Further meteorological factors should be studied (e.g. surface fluxes, PV anomalies, etc.)

6. Take-home messages



Hydrologic simulation has potential as advanced validation tool of HPE simulations



Higher SST entails more precipitation overall but not within the studied region necessarily



Topography seems to be the leading factor. It enhances and modulates the precipitation, causing persistent rain within small regions, and consequently flash flooding



Lowering SST and removing topography doesn't result in a particularly different outcome when compared to solely removing the topography

Thank you for your attention

Any questions?





Grup de Meteorologia

MINISTERIO DE CIENCIA, INNOVACIÓN Y UNIVERSIDADES





la Unión Europea

Grant PID2023-146625OB-I00 (HYDROMED) funded by:

25